

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,185	09/751,185 12/29/2000		Larry R. Fairbanks	CPS1540-203B	8520	
8698	7590	09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
		GROUP LLP	YIP, WINNIE S			
	495 METRO PLACE SOUTH SUITE 210				PAPER NUMBER	
DUBLIN, (OH 4301	7	3636			
				DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Application No.						
Office Action Summary	09/751,185	FAIRBANKS ET AL.					
omee Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Winnie Yip	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iiil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u>	ne 2006						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims	,						
•	re pending in the application						
	Claim(s) 1,3-4,8-14,16,17,21-26 and 29-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,8-14,16,17,21-26 and 29-36</u> is/a	re rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		· ·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/25/06</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ателт Аррисацоп					

Application/Control Number: 09/751,185

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on June 27, 2006.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 8-13 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regard to claims 8, 11, 21, and 24, the limitation recited "said reinforcement panel is adjacent to said second/third substantially planar portion" is confusing whether this reinforcement panel is the same as the reinforcement panel of prior claimed invention that is adjacent to the first/and second planar portion(s)? If the same, does the reinforcement panel recited in claim 1 (or 2) have a length longer then the length of the first/and second planar portion(s)? Clarification is required.

Regard to claims 10, 13, 23, and 26, the term "similar" holds the claimed invention be indefinite since it is not clear what applicant intends to cover by the recitation of "similar substantially planar portions" such as of "material" or "configuration", or "thickness", or "a length"?

Regard to claims 9, 12, 22, and 25, the term "the height" (line 1) lacks a proper antecedent basis. It appears to read "a height".

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1, 3-4, 8-14, 16-17, 21-26, 29-36 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 5-6, 9-12, 18-25, 28-29, 31-33, 37-45, 47-48, and 50 of copending Application No. 10/282,757.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim a vinyl siding panel unit with substantially same structure elements such as substantially planar portions with a curvature and a reinforcement panel except the curvature being defined by different dimensional units such as a radius curvature, slight curvature, and thickness that may achieve a substantial same result such as a same structure. However, the profile may optionally have varying thickness and width as needed for a particular application. It

Application/Control Number: 09/751,185

Art Unit: 3636

appears that the claimed invention would perform equally well with the siding panel having planar portions having a curvature being determined by various dimensions as claimed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 27, 2006, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-1-4, 8-17, 21-26, and 29-36 under U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, a new ground(s) of rejection is made base upon the Obviousness-type Double Patenting rejection as set forth above.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3636

Inquiry Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Winnie Yip whose telephone number is 571-272-6870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Winnie YIP Primary Bkaminer

Art Unit 3636